AUDITING PROCEDURES REPORT

Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.		Local Government Nam	ιΔ.		County	
Local Government Type: ☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village ☐	Other	Charter Township of Redford 17th District Court		County Wayne		
Audit Date	Opinion Da May 30, 20	ate Date Accountant Repo			port Submitted To State:	
We have audited the financial statements with the Statements of the Governmental Counties and Local Units of Government We affirm that: 1. We have complied with the Bulletin for	I Accountin in Michigar or the Audit	g Standards Board (GAS by the Michigan Departi s of Local Units of Gover	SB) and the <i>U</i> ment of Treasu	niform Reporting I Iry.		
We are certified public accountants re We further affirm the following. "Yes" resp and recommendations.			nancial statem	ents, including the	e notes, or in th	e report of comment
yes no 2. There are accumuly yes no 3. There are instance order issued under issued	nt units/fundulated deficions of non-coviolated the er the Emerds deposits/P.A. 55 of 1 been delinviolated the current rement, no s credit care	ow: ds/agencies of the local uts in one or more of this use ompliance with the Unifore conditions of either an orgency Municipal Loan Actinvestments which do not 982, as amended [MCL 3 quent in distributing tax reconstitutional requirements are used to the plan is more contributions are due (pads and has not adopted and an investment policy and the simple policy and the plan is more and an investment policy and the plan is more and an investment policy and the plan is more and an investment policy and the plan is more and an investment policy and the plan is more and an investment policy and the plan is more and plants.	nit's unreserve orm Accounting rder issued und ct. st comply with s 38.1132]) revenues that vent (Article 9, S e than 100% for aid during the y an applicable p	ed fund balances/ri and Budgeting A der the Municipal F statutory requirem were collected for ection 24) to fund unded and the over year). olicy as required by	etained earnin ct (P.A. 2 of 19 Finance Act or in eents. (P.A. 20 Finance another taxing current year earning cred by P.A. 266 of	gs (P.A. 275 of 1980) 968, as amended). ts requirements, or a of 1943, as amende j unit. irned pension benefit its are more than th
We have enclosed the following:				Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not d Required
The letter of comments and recommenda	ations.					\boxtimes
Reports on individual federal assistance programs (program audits).						
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).						
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name):	: PL	ANTE & MOR	AN, PLI	_C		
Street Address 1000 Oakbrook Dr., Suite 400			City Ann Ar		State MI	ZIP 48104
Accountant Signature Alante & Moran, A	<i>,</i>					

District Court Funds of District No. 17 Charter Township of Redford Wayne County, Michigan

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
March 31, 2006

	Contents
Report Letter	1
Basic Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet	2
Notes to Financial Statements	3-4
Supplemental Information	5
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	6

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Suite 400 1000 Cakbrook Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: 734.665.9494 Fax: 734.665.0664 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Judges of the 17th District Charter Township of Redford Wayne County, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the District Court Funds of District No. 17 (a component unit of the Charter Township of Redford, Michigan) as of March 31, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of District Court No. 17, Charter Township of Redford. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District Court Funds of District No. 17, Charter Township of Redford as of March 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements. The accompanying supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The accompanying financial statements do not present a management's discussion and analysis, which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that this analysis is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statements.

Plante + Moran, PLLC

May 30, 2006



Balance Sheet March 31, 2006

	Trust and Agency Account		Bond Account	
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 446,643</u>	<u>\$</u>	160,889	
Liabilities				
Returnable bonds	\$ -	\$	159,140	
Due to State Treasurer	99,846	•	-	
Due to District Control Unit	331,969)	1,749	
Due to other governmental units	8,413	}	-	
Due to Secretary of State	6,415	<u> </u>		
Total liabilities	\$ 446,643	<u>\$</u>	160,889	

Notes to Financial Statements March 31, 2006

Note I - Significant Accounting Policies

The funds of District Court No. 17, Charter Township of Redford (the "District Court") are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Note 2 - Cash

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. The District Court is allowed to invest in bonds securities and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptance of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of District Court funds. The investment policy adopted by the City Council in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds and securities of the United States government, bank accounts and CDs, and such obligations, bonds, and securities as permitted by the statutes of the State of Michigan.

Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the District Court had \$376,350 of bank deposits (checking accounts) that were uninsured and uncollateralized. The District Court believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all deposits. As a result, the District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Notes to Financial Statements March 31, 2006

Note 2 - Cash (Continued)

Credit Risk - State law limits investments in commercial paper to the top two ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. The District Court has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. As of year end, the credit quality ratings of debt securities (other than the U.S. government) are as follows:

				Rating
Investment	Fa	air Value	Rating	Organization
Comerica J-Fund	\$	128,913	AI, PI	S&P, Moody's



Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended March 31, 2006

	Trust and Agency Account	Bond Account	
Cash and Cash Equivalents - April 1, 2005	\$ 485,727	\$ 143,399	
Receipts			
Fines, fees, and court costs	4,474,855	-	
Bonds posted	_	879,295	
Restitution	_	86,660	
Work programs	56,542	-	
Intensive supervision/probation and community service	207,931	-	
Alcohol screening	21,813	-	
Building fund	193,629		
Interest	2,608	1,749	
Total receipts	4,957,378	967,704	
Disbursements			
Transfers to District Control Unit	3,626,321	734	
State Treasurer	1,209,515	-	
Secretary of State	72,541	-	
Other governmental units	82,632	-	
Bond forfeitures and transfers	-	737,871	
Bond returns	_	112,478	
Restitution	-	88,213	
Garnishments and execution	_	10,918	
Bonds reinstated	5,453		
Total disbursements	4,996,462	950,214	
Cash and Cash Equivalents - March 31, 2006	\$ 446,643	\$ 160,889	